- 1 is that correct?
- 2 A If the same two actual facilities are the ones
- 3 that happen to get used, which may or may not occur in that
- 4 particular instance.
- 5 Q Well, if the customer has already got a loop and
- 6 a port already hooked together --
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q -- and AT&T requests an unbundled loop and an
- 9 unbundled port to serve that customer, wouldn't it be most
- 10 efficient for you to go ahead and use the same loop and
- 11 port that are already hooked together to meet that request?
- 12 A And that is certainly possible. That is called
- 13 resale. Since that is a retail service --
- 14 Q So it's your --
- 15 A Can I finish my answer, sir?
- 16 Q Yes, go ahead, I'm sorry.
- 17 A Thank you. The scenario you just painted for me
- 18 was a customer that had a retail service from BellSouth, a
- 19 residence or a business line presumably, and AT&T wants to
- 20 take it over in an efficient manner, and they can certainly
- 21 do that, and that is called resale of residence or business
- 22 service.
- 23 Q So it's your testimony then that BellSouth would
- 24 disconnect these various elements and would require AT&T to
- 25 hook them back together, even if they were already

- 1 connected when AT&T made the request; is that your
- 2 testimony?
- 3 A No, sir, I didn't say anything like that. I
- 4 don't know how you got that from my testimony.
- 5 Q I thought that's exactly what you said.
- 6 A Let me try it again. The scenario you painted
- 7 for me was AT&T wanted to take over an account or a service
- 8 from an existing customer, and you said you wanted to do it
- 9 in an efficient manner. One such scenario for doing that
- 10 is to take that exact customer and that exact service to
- 11 that customer and do something called change as-is, which
- 12 is to take over everything sort of lock, stock and barrel,
- 13 whatever that customer has, without changing one thing,
- 14 change the billing to AT&T or a CLEC and purchase it via
- 15 resale. And that's a very efficient manner to operate in,
- 16 and any CLEC is entitled to do that.
- 17 Q Okay. Now let's go back and let's talk about
- 18 AT&T's request to serve that customer using unbundled
- 19 network elements.
- 20 A Okay.
- 21 Q And let's assume for a moment that there is
- 22 already a loop and a port hooked together serving that
- 23 customer. Is it your testimony that AT&T -- you would
- 24 disconnect the loop and the port and then you would require
- 25 AT&T to somehow hook those back together to serve the same

- 1 customer that you already have a loop and a port out there
- 2 serving?
- 3 A AT&T would force me to do that, sir, because AT&T
- 4 just ordered a loop and a port, happens to be a particular
- 5 existing customer. AT&T has now chosen to combine them
- 6 themselves, therefore, I have no option and no choice but
- 7 to do exactly what you just said.
- 8 Q So it's your testimony then that by virtue of
- 9 making the request, AT&T has required you to disconnect
- 10 elements that are previously connected and then require
- 11 AT&T to rebundle them?
- 12 A Again, I don't -- trying not to talk past each
- 13 other, there are two different options we are talking about
- 14 here, maybe three options, and maybe I ought to go through
- 15 each one of.
- 16 Q Let's forget about resale for a moment so we
- 17 don't bump past each other.
- 18 A Okay.
- 19 Q And let's talk about a loop and a port that are
- 20 connected today.
- 21 A Okay.
- 22 Q And AT&T comes to you and says I want to buy that
- 23 loop, and I want to buy that port so I can serve that --
- 24 A Okay, the only --
- 25 Q Let me finish my question, please, sir.

- 1 A Sure.
- Q Is it your position that the loop and the port
- 3 would then have to be disconnected from one another and
- 4 then AT&T would have to figure out some way to make that
- 5 connection prior to serving the customer?
- 6 A The answer to that question is yes if AT&T orders
- 7 a loop and port under the current conditions of our
- 8 statement and under the terms of the eighth circuit court
- 9 decision. In addition, as the statement talks about, if
- 10 AT&T wanted to come to BellSouth and said, we would like
- 11 you to do some form of combination of those elements for
- 12 you, that's what I indicated earlier, it could be
- 13 negotiated between the parties; and if appropriate
- 14 conditions develop between AT&T and BellSouth, it could be
- 15 accommodated potentially. But that is something that is
- 16 beyond the scope of the statement and would have to
- 17 subsequently be negotiated.
- 18 Q Mr. Scheye, is it your testimony that the policy
- 19 that you just articulated is in compliance with the eighth
- 20 circuit court decision?
- 21 A Absolutely, sir, that's why we changed the
- 22 statement to make sure we could reflect that properly.
- 23 Q So it's your testimony that the eighth circuit
- 24 decision allows BellSouth to unbundle -- or excuse me,
- 25 unconnect elements that are previously connected in order

- 1 to require a requesting carrier to then make the
- 2 connection?
- 3 A I don't believe I said that, and let me try it a
- 4 different way. We are talking about a customer to start
- 5 with -- our scenario started with a customer with an
- 6 existing telecommunication service provided by BellSouth.
- 7 The capabilities provided in that particular
- 8 telecommunication service, call it a 1FR or a 1FB, uses all
- 9 the components of BellSouth's network. It uses everything
- 10 that we provide today in our local network, that is why we
- 11 have it. Now that is a basic retail service that is out
- 12 there. Now AT&T comes and says, I want to use a loop and
- 13 port component. We are not taking apart unbundled network
- 14 elements. We're simply -- you're asking me about a retail
- 15 service, and now AT&T could subsequently order the pieces.
- 16 Q Mr. Scheye, I'm really not trying to talk past
- 17 you. You know, I think you and I both understand we are
- 18 talking about the use of unbundled network elements.
- 19 A Yes, agreed.
- 20 Q We are not talking about a resale service.
- 21 A That is where we started, sir.
- Q We are talking about using unbundled elements to
- 23 serve an existing customer. Now is it my understanding
- 24 that the only way -- that there are only two ways, excuse
- 25 me, that I can use, as an ALEC, I can use those elements to

- 1 serve an existing customer? One way would be for you to
- 2 unconnect the service that is connected out there today and
- 3 sell me that piece part and require me to somehow figure
- 4 out a way to rebundle it; or in the alternative, I could
- 5 make a bona fide request to BellSouth and pay what is known
- 6 as the glue charge; is that correct?
- 7 A Or you could resell the service.
- 8 Q But we are talking about the use of unbundled
- 9 elements, are we not, Mr. Scheye?
- 10 A We're not, that's the problem. You want to
- 11 assume that this customer has a series of unbundled network
- 12 elements, and what I'm suggesting to you is that the
- 13 customer in question has a retail service that uses all of
- 14 BellSouth's network. It happens to use loops, ports,
- 15 transport, everything that is unbundled obviously, but it
- 16 is buying a retail service. And now you are asking me to
- 17 tear apart piece parts of something or other; it is not
- 18 unbundled network elements. You are asking me to provide
- 19 AT&T components of network, and I am willing to do that.
- 20 I'm willing to do that in accordance with our statement,
- 21 and I'm willing to do that in accordance with the eighth
- 22 circuit decision.
- Now what the eighth circuit decision tells me is
- 24 in providing unbundled network elements, BellSouth, quote,
- 25 doesn't have to do all the work. The carrier, in this case

- 1 AT&T, should do or can do the combination of elements. I
- 2 have also indicated that BellSouth is willing to negotiate,
- 3 if you want us to do some additional work, which has been
- 4 called the glue charge.
- 5 Q Mr. Scheye, isn't it a fact that the eighth
- 6 circuit left FCC Rule 51.315(b) standing?
- 7 A Yes, but I don't believe that --
- 8 Q And doesn't that rule --
- 9 A Can I finish my answer, sir?
- 10 Q Yes, sir, go ahead.
- 11 A That rule and the paragraph that led to that rule
- 12 does not change anything that we have just talked about.
- 13 Q Mr. Scheye, doesn't that also -- doesn't that
- 14 rule provide that except upon request an incumbent LEC
- 15 shall not separate requested network elements that the
- 16 incumbent LEC currently combines?
- 17 A Correct, and we are not doing that.
- 18 Q Mr. Scheye, let's talk for a minute about how I
- 19 would go about serving a customer if I were able to use
- 20 unbundled network elements. The first thing I would need
- 21 would be a NID; is that correct?
- 22 A Yes, you can purchase a NID.
- 23 Q Network interface device. And that would cost 76
- 24 cents a month; is that correct?
- 25 A In the statement? Are we referring to the prices

```
BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2
                                  ) Docket No. 960786-TL
3 In re: Consideration of
   BellSouth Telecommunications,
4 Inc.'s entry into interLATA
   services pursuant to Section 271 )
5 of the Federal
   Telecommunications Act of 1996.
7
8
                 FIRST DAY - AFTERNOON SESSION
9
                          VOLUME 3
10
                    Pages 299 through 379
11 PROCEEDINGS:
                             HEARING
12 BEFORE:
                             JULIA L. JOHNSON, CHAIRMAN
                             SUSAN F. CLARK, COMMISSIONER
                             J. TERRY DEASON, COMMISSIONER
13
                             DIANE K. KIESLING, COMMISSIONER
14
                             JOE GARCIA, COMMISSIONER
15 DATE:
                             Tuesday, September 2, 1997
                             Commenced at 9:00 a.m.
16 TIME:
17 PLACE:
                             Betty Easley Conference Center
                             Room 148
18
                              4075 Esplanade Way
                              Tallahassee, Florida
19
    REPORTED BY:
                             Lisa Girod Jones, RPR, RMR
20
    APPEARANCES:
21
              (As heretofore noted.)
22
23
24
```

1		INDEX	
2		WITNESSES	
3	NAME		PAGE NO
4	ALPHONSO J. VARNER		
5	Cross Examination	by Mr. Bond	302 304
6	Cross Examination Cross Examination Cross Examination	by Mr. Canis	316 353
7	Cross Examination		356 356
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

- 1 would be only -- from what I've seen, it's only the case
- 2 wherein BellSouth would actually be combining the
- 3 elements, not the case where you would be combining them
- 4 yourself. That's the situation that I've described that
- 5 we would not be offering. We will not combine them for
- 6 you. We will terminate them in your collocation space
- 7 and you can combine them yourself.
- 8 Q I'm sorry. That in fact was my question.
- 9 Let's say Intermedia wasn't inclined to put a
- 10 multiplexer in its collocated cage, but instead wanted
- 11 BellSouth to perform that multiplexing function and then
- 12 provide a cross-connect from that multiplexer to
- 13 Intermedia's collocated cage. In that case, would
- 14 BellSouth impose a GLUE charge for combining the
- 15 unbundled loop with the multiplexer?
- 16 A Well, no, we would not apply a GLUE charge in
- 17 that case as you described it, because the GLUE charge
- 18 would only be applicable if BellSouth was combining the
- 19 elements itself. We will not be combining them.
- Now the other issue of whether or not such a
- 21 service as you described will even be offered, I can't
- 22 answer. You'll need to ask Mr. Scheye, because what you
- 23 seem to have described is another variation of subloop
- 24 unbundling.
- 25 Q So is it your -- is it BellSouth's position,

- 1 then, that if I am Intermedia and I said I want to order
- 2 a 56 kilobit digital loop, or let's say some other kind
- 3 of loop, let's say one of the loops that's definitely in
- 4 your statement, a DS-1 loop, and I said, hey, BellSouth,
- 5 I would like you to multiplex this in the central office
- 6 to me before handing it off to my collocated cage,
- 7 BellSouth would reject that request?
- 8 A Well, there's no reason to multiplex a DS-1
- 9 loop.
- 10 Q Let's say I wanted a DS-3 cross-connect to my
- 11 cage.
- 12 A If you wanted a DS-3 loop, I think you would
- 13 request that through the bona fide request process, if
- 14 it was offered.
- 15 Q Well, let's say the MUXing I want, I wanted to
- 16 MUX it down into a bunch of voice grade circuits.
- 17 A Again, if you wanted to put in a multiplexer,
- 18 you're free to do that, and you can multiplex it however
- 19 you want. What you're describing with a GLUE charge is
- 20 somehow where BellSouth combines multiple network
- 21 elements. It doesn't sound like what you're asking for
- 22 is in fact even multiple network elements. It sounds
- 23 like it is some subpart of a network element that you
- 24 want to have divided somehow.
- Q Well, let's use another example then. Let's

.348

- 1 say I wanted a DS-1 unbundled loop and I wanted to
- 2 purchase BellSouth's DS-3 interoffice transport. I
- 3 wanted to buy each of those as an unbundled network
- 4 element. Would BellSouth hook those two elements up for
- 5 me?
- 6 A We will send them to your collocated cage and
- 7 then you can hook them yourself. We won't combine them
- 8 for you.
- 9 Q How about a loop and a network interconnection
- 10 device, if I --
- 11 A That is the loop.
- 12 Q BellSouth defines the loop as including a NID?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Let's talk about the unbundled -- the subloop
- 15 distribution element listed in the BellSouth statement.
- 16 Let's say I wanted that and in a NID. Could I get those
- 17 two?
- 18 A I don't know how you would do it. If you
- 19 could figure out a way to do it.
- 20 Q Let's say I wanted to provide my own feeder --
- 21 my own feeder, all right, so I'm going to run a DS-1
- 22 feeder from my collocated cage to a controlled
- 23 environmental vault halfway in the loop. I want there
- 24 to cross connect to a 56 digital conditioned feeder a
- 25 distribution loop, which is listed -- a distribution

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	
)	
Application by BellSouth)	CC Docket No. 97
Telecommunications, Inc. for)	
Authorization under Section 271 of the)	
Communications Act to Provide In-)	
Region, InterLATA Service in the State of)	
South Carolina)	

AFFIDAVIT OF LOUISE B. HAYNE ON BEHALF OF AT&T CORP.

- I, Louise B. Hayne, being first duly sworn upon oath, do hereby depose and state as follows:
- 1. I am employed by AT&T Corp. ("AT&T") as the State Manager,
 Regulatory for the State of South Carolina. My business address is 440 Knox Abbott
 Drive, Cayce, South Carolina 29033. My responsibilities include representation of
 AT&T on regulatory matters before the South Carolina Public Service Commission
 ("SCPSC"), including review of filings made to the SCPSC.
- 2. In South Carolina, contract service arrangements ("CSAs"), which include special service arrangements (SSAs), customized telecommunications service agreements (CTSs), volume and term arrangements (VTAs), and master service agreements (MSAs),

are required to be filed with the SCPSC. I have personally reviewed the CSAs that BellSouth has filed with the SCPSC, most recently on September 19, 1997.

- 3. In 1996, BellSouth filed 66 CSAs with the SCPSC. For 1997, through September 26, 1997, the number of BellSouth-filed CSAs had increased to at least 141, with 32 being filed in March 1997 alone. These CSAs cover BellSouth's provision of telecommunications services to the end user customer specified in the contract. For example, BellSouth's CSA with General Electric includes basic business service, ISDN business services, and MegaLink services. CTS Agreement, BellSouth and General Electric, Tariff 97-13 (SCPSC).
- 4. Many of the CSAs include term commitments with substantial early cancellation penalties. For example, BellSouth's agreement with NationsBank, which runs for three years, includes termination penalties of at least \$3 million for the first year and \$2 million for the second year. CTS Agreement, BellSouth and NationsBank, Tariff 97-110 (SCPSC, filed March 18, 1997).

5. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Executed on September <u>29</u>, 1997.

Rouise B. Hayne

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME this day of September 1997.

Sal Cully Notary Public

My Commission expires: 9/18/2001

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 1st day of October 1997, I caused copies of the Motion of AT&T Corp. and LCI International Telecom Corp. to Dismiss BellSouth's 271 Application for South Carolina to be served upon the parties on the attached list by hand-delivery.

Ronald S. Flagg

SERVICE LIST

Federal Communications Commission

William Caton (Original + 11 copies)
Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Room 222
1919 M Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20554

Janice Myles (5 copies)
Policy and Program Planning Division
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
Room 544
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20554

U.S. Department of Justice Donald J. Russell (5 copies)

U.S. Department of Justice Antitrust Division, City Center Building 1401 H Street, N.W., Suite 8000 Washington, DC 20530

Joel Klein
Acting Assistant U.S. Attorney
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, DC 20530-001

South Carolina Public Service Commission

F. David Butler, General Counsel South Carolina Public Service Commission 111 Doctors Circle P.O. Box 11649 Columbia, SC 29211

ITS 1231 20th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

ITS

BellSouth Corporation
BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
BellSouth Long Distance, Inc.

Michael K. Kellogg Kellogg, Huber, Hansen, Todd & Evans 1301 K Street, N.W. Suite 1000 West Washington, DC 20005